

SEMINAR IN INTERNATIONAL POLITICS

Helen V. Milner
Robertson 431
OFFICE HOURS:
Tues 11-12 and by appointment

Andrew Moravcsik
Robertson 443
OFFICE HOURS:
M 1:30-3 pm and by appointment

This seminar introduces the field of international relations. It is designed primarily for students pursuing the PhD in Political Science, Public Policy and related fields. The focus is on theories of international relations: we focus on fundamental theoretical debates in IR and their relationship to empirical social science. The course serves as a foundation for other graduate offerings, which focus on discrete empirical and applications of these theories, as well as detailed methodological issues. Students should finish the course with an ability to situate arguments in the conceptual structure and intellectual history of IR theory, to appreciate the diverse range of available concepts and explanations for state behavior, to grasp the assumptions, logical structure, causal mechanisms and empirical implications of various theoretical positions, and to be aware of the most basic *prima facie* empirical evidence for and against important theories.

Requirements for the course are:

- (1) *Attendance, reading and active participation in discussion.* All students are expected to attend and participate actively in class discussions of all readings. This means students should be prepared to summarize, evaluate and assess critically the significance of every reading—at a moment's notice and without using notes. This will be the single largest component of the grading.
- (2) *Formal advocacy and defense of required readings each week.* Students will sign up to serve as formal advocates or critics of the required readings on the syllabus. The advocate speaks first. This does not mean summarizing the argument—unnecessary, because everyone has read it—but rather: (a) situating the contribution in the broader literature; (b) identifying the salient theoretical contribution and its broader implications; (c) identifying its major strengths (not weaknesses) as a contribution to IR theory. Critics challenge the contribution, its salience and implications, or point to theoretical or broad empirical weaknesses.
- (3) *Three 5 pp. papers commenting on required readings.* Students will sign up for three different weeks. Each paper comments critically on at least two of the required readings for that week. These papers must be distributed to the entire class by e-mail *by 6 p.m. on the Monday preceding class*; authors must also be prepared to discuss this paper in class. *NB: A*

paper writer in any given week may not assume the role of a critic or defender (see 2 above) of the same reading. While such essays necessarily engage in *minimal* necessary summary and criticism of the respective readings, and occasionally “referee” debates among established authorities, *neither is their primary purpose.* Their primary purpose is instead to set forth original theoretical, empirical or methodological insights about how IR scholars can improve theories about the underlying phenomena and develop new empirical insights about important cases, thereby charting the best direction forward toward new and improved IR theory.

(4) *One 5 pp. (minimum) paper commenting on a paper presented in the IR Faculty Colloquium.* Each student will sign up for a session of the IR Faculty Colloquium, which meets Mondays at noon. Each student will produce a paper commenting critically on the paper and presentation that week. This paper will be distributed to the instructors, the rest of the class and *the colloquium speaker.* These papers must be distributed to the entire class by e-mail *by 6 p.m. on the Friday of the week of the colloquium presentation.*

(5) *One take-home final exam.* The questions on the exam will be similar to those found on the departmental “General Exams” in IR that students may take at the end of their second year. Each student will be asked to answer three broad questions about the IR literature on the syllabus.

Grading will be on the basis of all items above. The most important single element is class participation, as defined above: you must do all the required readings and be ready to speak intelligently and flexibly about them.

Logistics:

All written work for the course should be submitted in electronic form *by e-mail attachment only.* All e-mails pertaining to the course should have a subject line beginning “POL551: ...”



Books are on reserve at the library, and permanent links to articles have been provided. Those who desire personal copies of books should search for copies from on-line providers; we recommend you purchase them used. Additional recommended readings, for use in studying for general exams if you are serious about research in IR, have been included a well.

SEMINAR SCHEDULE AND READINGS

Week 1: International Relations Theory and Social Science

- Lakatos, Imre (1974). Falsification and the Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes. In Imre Lakatos and Alan Musgrave (Eds.), *Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge: Proceedings of the International Colloquium in the Philosophy of Science, 1965* (2nd edition ed., Vol. 4, pp. 91-196). London (UK): Cambridge University Press.
- King, Gary, Robert O. Keohane, and Sidney Verba (1994). *Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1, 3, and 6.
- Elster, Jon (1998). A Plea for Mechanisms. In Peter Hedström and Richard Swedberg (Eds.), *Social Mechanisms: An Analytical Approach to Social Theory* (pp. 45-73). Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press.
- Fearon, James D. (1991). Counterfactuals and Hypothesis Testing in Political Science. *World Politics*. 43(2): 169-195. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010470>
- Lustick, Ian S. (2010). Tetlock and Counterfactuals: Saving Methodological Ambition from Empirical Findings. *Critical Review*. 22 (4): 427-448. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08913811.2010.541698>
- Jervis, Robert (2001). International History and International Politics: Why Are They Studied Differently? In Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman (Eds.), *Bridges and Boundaries: Historians, Political Scientists, and the Study of International Relations* (pp. 385-402). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Maliniak, Daniel, Susan Peterson, and Michael J. Tierney (2012). *Trip around the World: Teaching, Research, and Policy Views of International Relations Faculty in 20 Countries*. Williamsburg, VA: College of William & Mary. May 2012. Retrieved from http://www.wm.edu/offices/itpir/documents/trip/trip_around_the_world_2011.pdf

Week 2: Anarchy, Sovereignty, and the State System

- Morgenthau, Hans Joachim (1948). *Politics among Nations*. New York: Knopf. 2nd or later edition. Chapter 1 (“A Realist Theory of International Politics”), Chapter 3 (“Political Power”), and Chapter 15 (“Morality, Mores, and Law as Restraints on Power”) 
- Waltz, Kenneth Neal (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co. Chapters 1, 2, 4-6, and 

- Keohane, Robert O (Ed.) (1986). *Neorealism and Its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press. Chapters 1, 6, and 7.
- Wendt, Alexander E. (1992). Anarchy Is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization*. 46(2): 391-425. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706858>
- Chaudoin, Stephen, Helen V. Milner, and Xun Pang (2015). International Systems and Domestic Politics: Linking Complex Interactions with Empirical Models in International Relations. *International Organization*. 69(2): 275-309. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818314000356>
- Friedberg, Aaron L. (2005). The Future of U.S.-China Relations: Is Conflict Inevitable? *International Security*. 30(2): 7-45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/016228805775124589>

Week 3: Power, Distribution of Power, Polarity, and Order - Unipolarity

- Baldwin, David A. (2013). Power and International Relations. In Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse and Beth A. Simmons (Eds.), *Handbook of International Relations* (2nd ed., pp. 273-298). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Barnett, Michael N., and Raymond Duvall (2005). Power in International Politics. *International Organization*. 59(1): 39-75. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877878>
- Keohane, Robert O., and Joseph S. Nye (1977). *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company: 3-19.
- Krasner, Stephen D. (1976). State Power and the Structure of International Trade. *World Politics*. 28(3): 317-347. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009974>
- Gowa, Joanne (1989). Bipolarity, Multipolarity, and Free Trade. *American Political Science Review*. 83(4): 1245-1256. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1961667>
- Ikenberry, G. John, Michael Mastanduno, and William Curtis Wohlforth (2011). *International Relations Theory and the Consequences of Unipolarity*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1, 3, 4, and 8.
- Wohlforth, William C. (1999). The Stability of a Unipolar World. *International Security*. 24(1): 5-41. <http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/016228899560031>
- Monteiro, Nuno P. (2014). *Theory of Unipolar Politics*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1-3.

Week 4: Hegemony, Hierarchy, Power Transitions, and Order

- Gilpin, Robert (1981). *War and Change in World Politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1, 4, and 5.
- Ikenberry, G. John (2001). *After Victory: Institutions, Strategic Restraint, and the Rebuilding of Order after Major Wars*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1-3.
- DiCicco, Jonathan M., and Jack S. Levy (1999). Power Shifts and Problem Shifts: The Evolution of the Power Transition Research Program. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 43(6): 675-704.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/174600>
- McDonald, Patrick J. (2015) "Great Powers, Hierarchy, and Endogenous Regimes: Rethinking the Domestic Causes of Peace," *International Organization* 69:3 (Summer):557-588.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000120>
- Carter, David B., and H. E. Goemans (2011). The Making of the Territorial Order: New Borders and the Emergence of Interstate Conflict. *International Organization*. 65(2): 275-309.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016813>
- Chadefaux, Thomas (2011). Bargaining over Power: When Do Shifts in Power Lead to War? *International Theory*. 3(2): 228-253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S175297191100008X>
- Bull, Hedley (1977). *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. New York: Columbia University Press. Chapters 2 and 3.

Week 5: The Causes and Consequences of State Preferences

- Moravcsik, Andrew (1997). Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization*. 51(4): 513-553. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2703498>
- Lake, David (2009). Open Economy Politics: A Critical Review. *Review of International Organization* 4: 219-244. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11558-009-9060-y>
- Putnam, Robert D. (1988). Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games. *International Organization*. 42(3): 427-460. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706785>
- Milner, Helen V. (1997). *Interests, Institutions, and Information: Domestic Politics and International Relations*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1, 3-4.
- Snyder, Jack L. (1991). *Myths of Empire: Domestic Politics and International Ambition*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Chapters 1, 2, and 8.

- Cederman, Lars-Erik, T. Camber Warren, and Didier Sornette (2011). Testing Clausewitz: Nationalism, Mass Mobilization, and the Severity of War. *International Organization*. 65(4): 605-638. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016228>
- Bass, Gary Jonathan (2008). *Freedom's Battle: The Origins of Humanitarian Intervention*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf. Introduction, Chapters 1-2.
- Ruggie, John Gerard (1982). International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order. *International Organization*. 36(2): 379-415. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706527>
- Rogowski, Ronald (1989). *Commerce and Coalitions: How Trade Affects Domestic Political Alignments*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1 and 6.
- Frieden, Jeffry A. (1991). Invested Interests: The Politics of National Economic Policies in a World of Global Finance. *International Organization*. 45(4): 425-451. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706944>
- Narizny, Kevin (2003). Both Guns and Butter, or Neither: Class Interests in the Political Economy of Rearmament. *American Political Science Review*. 97(2): 203-220. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3118204>
- David, Steven (1991). Explaining Third World Alignment. *World Politics*. 43(2): 233-256. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010472>

Week 6: State Preferences and Conflict 2 – The Effects of Democracy

- Rogowski, Ronald (1999). Institutions as Constraints on Strategic Choice. In David A. Lake and Robert Powell (Eds.), *Strategic Choice and International Relations* (pp. 115-136). Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Doyle, Michael W. (1986). Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 80(4): 1151-1169. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1960861>
- Oneal, John R., and Bruce Russett (1999). The Kantian Peace: The Pacific Benefits of Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations, 1885-1992. *World Politics*. 52(1): 1-37. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054099>
- Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, Alastair Smith, Randolph M. Siverson, and James D. Morrow (2003). *The Logic of Political Survival*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Chapters 1, 5 & 6.
- Weeks, Jessica L.P. (2014). *Dictators at War and Peace*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Chapters 1 and 2.

- Owen, John M. (1994). How Liberalism Produces Democratic Peace. *International Security*. 19 (2): 87-125. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539197>
- Mansfield, Edward D., and Jack Snyder (2002). Democratic Transitions, Institutional Strength, and War. *International Organization*. 56(2): 297-337. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078607>
- Debs, Alexandre, and H. E. Goemans (2010). Regime Type, the Fate of Leaders, and War. *American Political Science Review*. 104(3): 430-445. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40863762>
- Boix, Carles (2011). Democracy, Development, and the International System. *American Political Science Review*. 105(4): 809-828. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23275354>
- Reiter, Dan, and Allan C. Stam (2003). Understanding Victory: Why Political Institutions Matter. *International Security*. 28(1): 168-179. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137580>

Week 7: Forms of Strategic Interaction and Bargaining in World Politics

- Schelling, Thomas C. (1960). *The Strategy of Conflict*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Chapters 1-3, and 8.
- Hirschman, Albert O. (1980 (1945)). *National Power and the Structure of Foreign Trade* (Expanded ed.). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. Prefaces, Introduction, and chapters 1 and 2, esp pp.v-51.
- Lake, David A., and Robert Powell (1999). *Strategic Choice and International Relations*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 3 and 7.
- Jervis, Robert (1978). Cooperation under the Security Dilemma. *World Politics*. 30(2): 167-214. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009958>
- Fearon, James D. (1995). Rationalist Explanations for War. *International Organization*. 49(3): 379-414. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706903>
- Powell, Robert (2002). Bargaining Theory and International Conflict. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 5(1): 1-30. <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.092601.141138>
- Christensen, Thomas J., and Jack Snyder (1990). Chain Gangs and Passed Bucks: Predicting Alliance Patterns in Multipolarity. *International Organization*. 44(2): 137-168. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706792>
- Axelrod, Robert M., and Robert O. Keohane (1985). Achieving Cooperation under Anarchy: Strategies and Institutions. *World Politics*. 38(1): 226-254. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010357>
- Glaser, Charles L (1997). The Security Dilemma Revisited. *World Politics*. 50(1): 171-201. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100014763>

Kydd, Andrew H., and Barbara F. Walter (2006). The Strategies of Terrorism. *International Security*. 31(1): 49-80. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.49>

Week 8: Signaling, Credibility, and Diplomacy

Fearon, James D. (1994). Domestic Political Audiences and the Escalation of International Disputes. *American Political Science Review*. 88(3): 577-592. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2944796>

Fearon, James D. (1997). Signaling Foreign Policy Interests: Tying Hands Versus Sinking Costs. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 41(1): 68-90. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/174487>

Snyder, Jack, and Erica D. Borghard (2011). The Cost of Empty Threats: A Penny, Not a Pound. *American Political Science Review*. 105(3): 437-456. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41480851>

Powell, Robert (2006). War as a Commitment Problem. *International Organization*. 60(1): 169-203. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877871>

Ramsay, Kristopher W. (2011). Cheap Talk Diplomacy, Voluntary Negotiations, and Variable Bargaining Power. *International Studies Quarterly*. 55(4): 1003-1023. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2011.00687.x>

Trager, Robert F. (2010). Diplomatic Calculus in Anarchy: How Communication Matters. *American Political Science Review*. 104(2): 347-368. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40863724>

Achen, Christopher H., and Duncan Snidal (1989). Rational Deterrence Theory and Comparative Case Studies. *World Politics*. 41(2): 143-169. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010405>

Lebow, Richard Ned, and Janice Gross Stein (1989). Rational Deterrence Theory: I Think, Therefore I Deter. *World Politics*. 41(2): 208-224. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010408>

Week 9: International Institutions

Keohane, Robert O. (1984). *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1, 4-6.

Krasner, Stephen D. (1982). Structural Causes and Regime Consequences: Regimes as Intervening Variables. *International Organization*. 36 (2): 185-205. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706520>

Krasner, Stephen D. (1982). Regimes and the Limits of Realism: Regimes as Autonomous Variables. *International Organization*. 36 (2): 497-510. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706531>

- Johnston, Alastair Iain (2001). Treating International Institutions as Social Environments. *International Studies Quarterly*. 45 (4): 487-515. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3096058>
- Stone, Randall W. (2011). *Controlling Institutions: International Organizations and the Global Economy*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1 and 2.
- Carnegie, Allison. (2014). "States Held Hostage: Political Hold-Up Problems and the Effects of International Institutions," *American Political Science Review* 108: 54-70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000646>
- Martin, Lisa L. (1992). Interests, Power, and Multilateralism. *International Organization*. 46 (4): 765-792. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706874>
- Barnett, Michael N., and Martha Finnemore (1999). The Politics, Power, and Pathologies of International Organizations. *International Organization*. 53 (4): 699-732. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601307>
- Grieco, Joseph M. (1988). Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *International Organization*. 42 (3): 485-507. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706787>

Week 10: Institutional Design and Compliance

- Morrow, James D. (1994). Modeling the Forms of International Cooperation: Distribution versus Information. *International Organization*. 48(3): 387-423. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706964>
- Lipson, Charles (1991). Why Are Some International Agreements Informal? *International Organization*. 45(4): 495-538. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706946>
- Koremenos, Barbara, Charles Lipson, and Duncan Snidal (2001). The Rational Design of International Institutions. *International Organization*. 55 (4): 761-799. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078615>
- Simmons, Beth A. (2009). *Mobilizing for Human Rights: International Law in Domestic Politics*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1 and 4.
- Davis, Christina L. (2004). International Institutions and Issue Linkage: Building Support for Agricultural Trade Liberalization. *American Political Science Review*. 98(1): 153-169. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4145303>
- Rosendorff, B. Peter, and Helen V. Milner (2001). The Optimal Design of International Trade Institutions: Uncertainty and Escape. *International Organization*. 55(4): 829-857. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078617>
- Chayes, Abram, and Antonia Handler Chayes (1993). On Compliance. *International Organization*. 47(2): 175-205. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706888>

Downs, George W., David M. Rocke, and Peter N. Barsoom (1996). Is the Good News About Compliance Good News About Cooperation? *International Organization*. 50(3): 379-406. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2704030>

Kinne, Brandon J. (2013). Network Dynamics and the Evolution of International Cooperation. *American Political Science Review* 107: 766-785. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000440>

Week 11: Beyond Rationality - Perception, Cognition, Psychology, and Culture

Goldstein, Judith L., and Robert O. Keohane (1993). Ideas and Foreign Policy: An Analytical Framework. In Judith Goldstein and Robert O. Keohane (Eds.), *Ideas and Foreign Policy: Beliefs, Institutions, and Political Change* (pp. 3-30). Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Allison, Graham T. (1969). Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *American Political Science Review*. 63(3): 689-718. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1954423>

Jervis, Robert (1968). Hypotheses on Misperception. *World Politics*. 20(3): 454-479. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009777>

Tversky, Amos, and Daniel Kahneman (1982). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. In Daniel Kahneman, Paul Slovic and Amos Tversky (Eds.), *Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases* (pp. 3-22). Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press.

Johnston, Alastair Iain (1995). Thinking About Strategic Culture. *International Security*. 19(4): 32-64. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539119>

Stein, Janice Gross (2013). Psychological Explanations of International Decision Making and Collective Behavior. In Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse and Beth A. Simmons (Eds.), *Handbook of International Relations* (2nd ed., pp. 195-220). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Yarhi-Milo, Keren (2014). *Knowing the Adversary: Leaders, Intelligence, and Assessment of Intentions in International Relations*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1 and 11.

Saunders, Elizabeth N. (2009). Transformative Choices: Leaders and the Origins of Intervention Strategy. *International Security*. 34(2): 119-161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/isec.2009.34.2.119>

Mercer, Jonathan (2005). Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. *International Organization*. 59(1): 77-106. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877879>

Khong, Yuen Foong (1992). *Analogies at War: Korea, Munich, Dien Bien Phu, and the Vietnam Decisions of 1965*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1 and 7.

Renshon, Jonathan. (2015). Losing Face and Sinking Costs: Experimental Evidence on the Judgment of Political and Military Leaders. *International Organization* 69(3): 659-695.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000107>

Kertzer, Joshua D. and Brian C. Rathbun. (2015). Fair Is Fair: Social Preferences and Reciprocity in International Politics. *World Politics* 67(4): 1-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0043887115000180>

Week 12: Constructivism: Socialization, Legitimacy, Diffusion

Wendt, Alexander E. (1999). *Social Theory of International Politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1, 3, 6, 7.

Johnston, Alastair I. (2008). *Social States: China in International Institutions, 1980-2000*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapters 1 and 5.

Finnemore, Martha, and Kathryn Sikkink (1998). International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization*. 52(4): 887-917. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601361>

Finnemore, Martha (1996). *National Interest in International Society*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Chapters 1 and 2.

Hurd, Ian (1999). Legitimacy and Authority in International Politics. *International Organization*. 53(2): 379-408. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601393>

Reus-Smit, Christian (2013). *Individual Rights and the Making of the International System*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1 and 2.

Pevehouse, Jon C. (2002). Democracy from the Outside-In? International Organizations and Democratization. *International Organization*. 56(3): 515-549.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078587>

Fearon, James D., and Alexander E. Wendt (2002). Rationalism V. Constructivism: A Skeptical View. In Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse-Kappen and Beth A. Simmons (Eds.), *Handbook of International Relations* (pp. 52-72). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Recommended readings, including other major works, material relevant to general exams for those serious about IR, critical background on specific points:

Week 1:

- Weber, Max (1946). Science as a Vocation. In H. H. Gerth and C. Wright Mills (Eds.), *Max Weber: Essays in Sociology* (Translated and edited ed., pp. 129-156). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Laitin, David D. (2003). The Perestroikan Challenge to Social Science. *Politics & Society*. **31**(1): 163-184.
<http://pas.sagepub.com/content/31/1/163.abstract>
- Flyvbjerg, Bent (2004). A Perestroikan Straw Man Answers Back: David Laitin and Phronetic Political Science. *Politics & Society*. **32**(3): 389-416. <http://pas.sagepub.com/content/32/3/389.abstract>
- List, Christian, and Kai Spiekermann (2013). Methodological Individualism and Holism in Political Science: A Reconciliation. *American Political Science Review*. **107**(04): 629-643. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000373>
- Kristof, Nicholas (2014). Professors, We Need You! *New York Times*, p. SR11, February 16, 2014. Retrieved from <http://nyti.ms/1fpmI9l>
- Stent, Angela (2014). Why America Doesn't Understand Putin. *Washington Post*, Washington, DC: The Washington Post. Retrieved from http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/why-america-doesnt-understand-putin/2014/03/14/81bc1cd6-a9f4-11e3-b61e-8051b8b52d06_story.html
- Adcock, Robert (2001). Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *American Political Science Review*. **95**(03): 529-546. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3118231>
- Sartori, Giovanni (1970). Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review*. **64**(4): 1033-1053. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1958356>
- George, Alexander L., and Timothy J. McKeown (1985). Case Studies and Theories of Organizational Decision Making. In Robert F. Coulam and Richard A. Smith (Eds.), *Advances in Information Processing in Organizations* (Vol. 2, pp. 21-58). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Lijphart, Arend (1971). Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. *American Political Science Review*. **65**(3): 682-693. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1955513>
- Almond, Gabriel A., and Stephen J. Genco (1977). Clouds, Clocks, and the Study of Politics. *World Politics*. **29**(4): 489-522. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010037>
- Review Symposium: The Qualitative-Quantitative Disputation:*
- Laitin, David D. (1995). Disciplining Political Science. *American Political Science Review*. **89**(2): 454-456. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082445>
- Caporaso, James A. (1995). Research Design, Falsification, and the Qualitative-Quantitative Divide. *American Political Science Review*. **89**(2): 457-460. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082441>
- Collier, David (1995). Translating Quantitative Methods for Qualitative Researchers: The Case of Selection Bias. *American Political Science Review*. **89**(2): 461-466. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082442>

- Rogowski, Ronald (1995). The Role of Theory and Anomaly in Social-Scientific Inference. *American Political Science Review*. **89**(2): 467-470. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082443>
- Tarrow, Sidney (1995). Bridging the Quantitative-Qualitative Divide in Political Science. *American Political Science Review*. **89**(2): 471-474. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082444>
- King, Gary, Robert O. Keohane, and Sidney Verba (1995). The Importance of Research Design in Political Science. *American Political Science Review*. **89**(2): 475-481. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082445>
- Elster, Jon (1989). *Nuts and Bolts for the Social Sciences*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapter 1 (pp. 3-10).
- Eckstein, Harry (1975). Case Study and Theory in Political Science. In Fred I. Greenstein and Nelson W. Polsby (Eds.), *Handbook of Political Science* (Vol. 7: Strategies of Inquiry, pp. 79-137). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Exchange on the Third Debate:*
- Lapid, Yosef (1989). The Third Debate: On the Prospects of International Theory in a Post-Positivist Era. *International Studies Quarterly*. **33**(3): 235-254. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600457>
- Holsti, K. J. (1989). Mirror, Mirror on the Wall, Which Are the Fairest Theories of All? *International Studies Quarterly*. **33**(3): 255-261. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600458>
- Biersteker, Thomas J. (1989). Critical Reflections on Post-Positivism in International Relations. *International Studies Quarterly*. **33**(3): 263-267. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600459>
- George, Jim (1989). International Relations and the Search for Thinking Space: Another View of the Third Debate. *International Studies Quarterly*. **33**(3): 269-279. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600460>
- Gaddis, John Lewis (1996). History, Science, and the Study of International Relations. In Ngaire Woods (Ed.), *Explaining International Relations since 1945* (pp. 32-48). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Levy, Jack S. (2001). Explaining Events and Developing Theories: History, Political Science, and the Analysis of International Relations. In Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman (Eds.), *Bridges and Boundaries: Historians, Political Scientists, and the Study of International Relations* (pp. 39-83). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sil, Rudra, and Peter J. Katzenstein (2010). *Beyond Paradigms: Analytic Eclecticism in the Study of World Politics*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire; New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tao, Terence (2012). E pluribus unum: From Complexity, Universality. *Daedalus*. **141**(3): 23-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/DAED_a_00158
- Flyvbjerg, Bent (2011). Case Study. In Norman K. Denzin and Yvonne S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (pp. 301-316). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gerring, John (2001). *Social Science Methodology: A Criterial Framework*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- George, Alexander L., and Andrew Bennett (2005). *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Chapter 10 ("Process-Tracing and Historical Explanation") (pp. 205-232).
- Evans, Richard J. *Altered Pasts: Counterfactuals in History* (Waltham: Brandeis University Press, 2013).

Week 2:

- Waltz, Kenneth N. (1959). *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Buzan, Barry, Charles Jones, and Richard Little (1993). *The Logic of Anarchy: Neorealism to Structural Realism*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wagner, R. Harrison (2007). *War and the State: The Theory of International Politics*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. Ch. 1-2 (pp. 1-103). Available at: http://www.press.umich.edu/224960/war_and_the_state/?s=look_inside
- Tang, Shiping (2009). The Security Dilemma: A Conceptual Analysis. *Security Studies*. **18**(3): 587-623. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09636410903133050>
- Jervis, Robert (1978). Cooperation under the Security Dilemma. *World Politics*. **30**(2): 167-214. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009958>
- Lake, David A., and Robert Powell (1999). International Relations: A Strategic-Choice Approach. In David A. Lake and Robert Powell (Eds.), *Strategic Choice and International Relations* (pp. 3-38). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Buzan, Barry, and Richard Little (2010). World History and the Development of Non-Western International Relations Theory. In Amitav Acharya and Barry Buzan (Eds.), *Non-Western International Relations Theory: Perspectives on and beyond Asia* (pp. 197-220). New York: Routledge.
- Powell, Robert (1994). Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate. *International Organization*. **48**(2): 313-344. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706934>
- Krasner, Stephen D. (1999). *Sovereignty: Organized Hypocrisy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chapter 1 (pp. 3-42).
- Spruyt, Hendrik (1994). *The Sovereign State and Its Competitors: An Analysis of Systems Change*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chapter 8 (pp. 154-180).
- Simmons, Beth A., Frank Dobbin, and Geoffrey Garrett (2006). Introduction: The International Diffusion of Liberalism. *International Organization*. **60**(4): 781-810. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877847>
- Branch, Jordan (2011). Mapping the Sovereign State: Technology, Authority, and Systemic Change. *International Organization*. **65**(01): 1-36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818310000299>
- Ruggie, John Gerard (1993). Territoriality and Beyond: Problematizing Modernity in International Relations. *International Organization*. **47**(1): 139-174. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706885>
- Carlson, Allen (2005). *Unifying China, Integrating with the World: Securing Chinese Sovereignty in the Reform Era*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press. Introduction and Chapters 1-2 (pp. 1-48).
- Oksenberg, Michel (2001). The Issue of Sovereignty in the Asian Historical Context. In Stephen D. Krasner (Ed.), *Problematic Sovereignty* (pp. 83-104). New York: Columbia University Press.
- Osiander, Andreas (2001). Sovereignty, International Relations, and the Westphalian Myth. *International Organization*. **55**(2): 251-287. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078632>
- Milner, Helen V. (1991). The Assumption of Anarchy in International Politics: A Critique. *Review of International Studies*. **17**(1): 67-85. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097244>

Week 3:

- Ikenberry, G. John, Michael Mastanduno, and William C. Wohlforth (2009). Unipolarity, State Behavior, and Systemic Consequences. *World Politics*. **61**(1): 1-27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S004388710900001X>
- Wohlforth, William C. (2009). Unipolarity, Status Competition, and Great Power War. *World Politics*. **61**(1): 28-57. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40060220>
- Monteiro, Nuno P. (2011). Unrest Assured: Why Unipolarity Is Not Peaceful. *International Security*. **36**(3): 9-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00064
- Walt, Stephen M. (2009). Alliances in a Unipolar World. *World Politics*. **61**(1): 86-120. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40060222>
- Jervis, Robert (2009). Unipolarity: A Structural Perspective. *World Politics*. **61**(1): 188-213. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40060225>
- Mearsheimer, John J. (2001). *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: Norton. Chapters 1 and 2 (pp. 1-54).

And reviews thereof:

- Snyder, Glenn H. (2002). Mearsheimer's World—Offensive Realism and the Struggle for Security: A Review Essay. *International Security*. **27**(1): 149-173. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/016228802320231253>
- Rosecrance, Richard N. (2002). War and Peace. *World Politics*. **55**(1): 137-166. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054212>
- Nye, Joseph S. (1988). Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World Politics*. **40**(2): 235-251. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010363>
- Baldwin, David A. (Ed.) (1993). *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Keohane, Robert O., and Joseph S. Nye (1987). Power and Interdependence Revisited. *International Organization*. **41**(4): 725-753. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706764>
- Lukes, Steven (1974). *Power: A Radical View*. London: MacMillan.
- Baldwin, David A. (1980). Interdependence and Power: A Conceptual Analysis. *International Organization*. **34**(4): 471-506. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706510>
- Gruber, Lloyd (2000). *Ruling the World: Power Politics and the Rise of Supranational Institutions*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Jervis, Robert (1988). Realism, Game Theory, and Cooperation. *World Politics*. **40**(3): 317-349. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010216>

Week 4:

- Ikenberry, G. John, and Charles A. Kupchan (1990). Socialization and Hegemonic Power. *International Organization*. **44**(3): 283-315. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706778>
- Strange, Susan (1987). The Persistent Myth of Lost Hegemony. *International Organization*. **41**(4): 551-574. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706758>

- Snidal, Duncan (1985). The Limits of Hegemonic Stability Theory. *International Organization*. **39**(4): 579-614. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706716>
- Debs, Alexandre, and Nuno P. Monteiro (2014). Known Unknowns: Power Shifts, Uncertainty, and War. *International Organization*. **68**(01): 1-31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000192>
- Powell, Robert (2012). Persistent Fighting and Shifting Power. *American Journal of Political Science*. **56**(3): 620-637. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23316010>
- Kirshner, Jonathan (2000). Rationalist Explanations for War? *Security Studies*. **10**(1): 143-150. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09636410008429423>
- Leventoglu, Bahar, and Branislav L. Slantchev (2007). The Armed Peace: A Punctuated Equilibrium Theory of War. *American Journal of Political Science*. **51**(4): 755-771. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4620098>
- Treisman, Daniel (2004). Rational Appeasement. *International Organization*. **58**(2): 345-373. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877861>
- Lake, David A. (2010). Two Cheers for Bargaining Theory: Assessing Rationalist Explanations of the Iraq War. *International Security*. **35**(3): 7-52. http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/ISEC_a_00029#.U_NPU2NuVZU
- Gartzke, Erik (1999). War Is in the Error Term. *International Organization*. **53**(3): 567-587. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601290>

Week 5:

- Weeks, Jessica L. (2012). Strongmen and Straw Men: Authoritarian Regimes and the Initiation of International Conflict. *American Political Science Review*. **106**(02): 326-347. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0003055412000111>
- Milner, Helen V. (1998). Rationalizing Politics: The Emerging Synthesis of International, American, and Comparative Politics. *International Organization*. **52**(4): 759-786. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601357>
- Hiscox, Michael J. (2001). Class versus Industry Cleavages: Inter-Industry Factor Mobility and the Politics of Trade. *International Organization*. **55**(1): 1-46. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078596>
- Krasner, Stephen D. (1978). *Defending the National Interest: Raw Materials Investments and US Foreign Policy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chapter 2 (pp. 35-54).
- Kirshner, Jonathan (2007). *Appeasing Bankers: Financial Caution on the Road to War*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Milner, Helen V. (1988). *Resisting Protectionism: Global Industries and the Politics of International Trade*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Frieden, Jeffry A., and Ronald Rogowski (1996). The Impact of the International Economy on National Policies: An Analytical Overview. In Robert O. Keohane and Helen V. Milner (Eds.), *Internationalization and Domestic Politics* (pp. 25-47). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Milner, Helen V., and Keiko Kubota (2005). Why the Move to Free Trade? Democracy and Trade Policy in the Developing Countries. *International Organization*. **59**(1): 107-143. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877880>
- Kono, Daniel Y. (2006). Optimal Obfuscation: Democracy and Trade Policy Transparency. *American Political Science Review*. **100**(3): 369-384. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27644361>
- Evangelista, Matthew (1997). Domestic Structure and International Change. In Michael W. Doyle and G John Ikenberry (Eds.), *New Thinking in International Relations Theory* (pp. 202-228). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

- Katzenstein, Peter J. (Ed.) (1978). *Between Power and Plenty: Foreign Economic Policies of Advanced Industrial States*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Hall, Peter A., and David W. Soskice (2001). *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hall, Peter A. (1986). *Governing the Economy: The Politics of State Intervention in Britain and France*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ikenberry, G. John, David A. Lake, and Michael Mastanduno (Eds.) (1988). *The State and American Foreign Economic Policy*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- MacIntyre, Andrew (2001). Institutions and Investors: The Politics of the Economic Crisis in Southeast Asia. *International Organization*. **55**(1): 81-122. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078598>
- Powell, Robert (1999). *In the Shadow of Power: States and Strategies in International Politics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chapter 1 (pp. 3-39).

Week 6:

- Doyle, Michael W. (1986). Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. **80**(4): 1151-1169. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1960861>
- Mueller, John E. (2004). *The Remnants of War*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Chs 1 and 9 (pp. 8-23, 161-182).
- Gowa, Joanne S. (2011). The Democratic Peace after the Cold War. *Economics & Politics*. **23**(2): 153-171. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0343.2011.00382.x>
- Maoz, Zeev, and Bruce Russett (1993). Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. *American Political Science Review*. **87**(3): 624-638. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2938740>
- Owen, John M. (1997). *Liberal Peace, Liberal War: American Politics and International Security*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press. Chapters 1, 2, 6, and 7 (pp. 3-66, 185-236).
- Oren, Ido (1995). The Subjectivity of the 'Democratic' Peace: Changing US Perceptions of Imperial Germany. In Michael E. Brown, Sean M. Lynn-Jones and Steven E. Miller (Eds.), *Debating the Democratic Peace* (pp. 263-300). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mansfield, Edward D., and Jack Snyder (1995). Democratization and the Danger of War. *International Security*. **20**(1): 5-38. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539213>
- Gartzke, Erik, Quan Li, and Charles Boehmer (2001). Investing in the Peace: Economic Interdependence and International Conflict. *International Organization*. **55**(2): 391-438. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078636>
- Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, James D. Morrow, Randolph M. Siverson, and Alastair Smith (1999). An Institutional Explanation of the Democratic Peace. *American Political Science Review*. **93**(4): 791-807. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2586113>
- Brown, Michael E., Sean M. Lynn-Jones, and Steven E. Miller (Eds.) (1996). *Debating the Democratic Peace*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Chapters by Russett ("The Fact of the Democratic Peace"), and Mansfield and Snyder ("Democratization and the Danger of War") (pp. 58-81, 310-336).
- Rosato, Sebastian (2003). The Flawed Logic of Democratic Peace Theory. *American Political Science Review*. **97**(4): 585-602. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3593025>

- Mansfield, Edward D., and Brian M. Pollins (2003). Interdependence and Conflict: An Introduction. In Edward D. Mansfield and Brian M. Pollins (Eds.), *Economic Interdependence and International Conflict: New Perspectives on an Enduring Debate* (pp. 1-28). Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Goemans, H. E. (2000). *War and Punishment: The Causes of War Termination and the First World War*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Introduction and Conclusion (pp. 3-18, 310-324).
- Lohmann, Susanne, and Sharyn O'Halloran (1994). Divided Government and U.S. Trade Policy: Theory and Evidence. *International Organization*. **48**(4): 595-632. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706897>
- Bailey, Michael A., Judith Goldstein, and Barry R. Weingast (1997). The Institutional Roots of American Trade Policy: Politics, Coalitions, and International Trade. *World Politics*. **49**(3): 309-338. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054005>
- Hiscox, Michael J. (1999). The Magic Bullet? The RTAA, Institutional Reform and Trade Liberalization. *International Organization*. **53**(4): 669-698. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601306>
- Gunitsky, Seva (2014). From Shocks to Waves: Hegemonic Transitions and Democratization in the Twentieth Century. *International Organization*. **68**(03): 561-597. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818314000113>
- Mansfield, Edward D., Helen V. Milner, and Jon C. Pevehouse (2008). Democracy, Veto Players and the Depth of Regional Integration. *World Economy*. **31**(1): 67-96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9701.2007.01082.x>

Week 7:

- Oye, Kenneth A. (1985). Explaining Cooperation under Anarchy: Hypotheses and Strategies. *World Politics*. **38**(1): 1-24. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010349>
- Olson, Mancur, and Richard Zeckhauser (1966). An Economic Theory of Alliances. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. **48**(3): 266-279. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1927082>
- Leeds, Brett Ashley (2003). Do Alliances Deter Aggression? The Influence of Military Alliances on the Initiation of Militarized Interstate Disputes. *American Journal of Political Science*. **47**(3): 427-439. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3186107>
- Raiffa, Howard, John Richardson, and David Metcalfe (2002). *Negotiation Analysis: The Science and Art of Collaborative Decision Making*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press. Chapters 3 and 7 (pp. 33-52, 109-128).
- Voeten, Erik (2001). Outside Options and the Logic of Security Council Action. *American Political Science Review*. **95**(4): 845-858. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117717>
- Jönsson, Christer (2002). Diplomacy, Bargaining and Negotiation. In Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse and Beth A. Simmons (Eds.), *Handbook of International Relations* (1st ed., pp. 212-235). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781848608290.n11>
- Walt, Stephen M. (1987). *The Origins of Alliances*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press. Chapters 1, 2, and 8 (pp. 1-49, 262-286).
- Schweller, Randall L. (1994). Bandwagoning for Profit: Bringing the Revisionist State Back In. *International Security*. **19**(1): 72-107. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539149>
- Legro, Jeffrey W., and Andrew Moravcsik (1999). Is Anybody Still a Realist? *International Security*. **24**(2): 5-55. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539248>

- Wohlforth, William C., Richard Little, Stuart J. Kaufman, David Kang, Charles A. Jones, Victoria Tin-Bor Hui, et al. (2007). Testing Balance-of-Power Theory in World History. *European Journal of International Relations*. **13**(2): 155-185. <http://ejt.sagepub.com/content/13/2/155.abstract>
- Wallander, Celeste A., and Robert O. Keohane (1999). Risk, Threat, and Security Institutions. In Celeste A. Wallander and Robert O. Keohane (Eds.), *Imperfect Unions: Security Institutions over Time and Space* (pp. 21-47). Oxford: Oxford University Press. Available at <http://books.google.com/books?id=Ty-cyk-ZOGAC&lpg=PA88&ots=DqSGvbCfH7&dq=Imperfect%20Unions%3A%20Security%20Institutions%20over%20Time%20and%20Space&lr&pg=PA88#v=onepage&q=Imperfect%20Unions:%20Security%20Institutions%20over%20Time%20and%20Space&f=false>
- Posen, Barry R. (1993). The Security Dilemma and Ethnic Conflict. *Survival*. **35**(1): 27-47. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0039639308442672>
- Ikenberry, G. John (2011). *Liberal Leviathan: the Origins, Crisis, and Transformation of the American World Order*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Chapter 7 (pp. 279-332)
- Lynn-Jones, Sean M., and Steven E. Miller (Eds.) (1994). *The Cold War and After: Prospects for Peace* (Expanded ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Art, Robert J. (1980). To What Ends Military Power? *International Security*. **4**(4): 3-35. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626666>
- Olson, Mancur (1982). *The Rise and Decline of Nations: Economic Growth, Stagflation, and Social Rigidities*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Nye, Joseph S. (1990). *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power*. New York: Basic Books.
- Lake, David A. (1983). International Economic Structures and American Foreign Economic Policy, 1887-1934. *World Politics*. **36**(4): 517-543. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010388>
- Jervis, Robert (2002). Theories of War in an Era of Leading-Power Peace. *American Political Science Review*. **96**(1): 1-14. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117806>
- Brooks, Stephen G., and William C. Wohlforth (2008). *World out of Balance: International Relations and the Challenge of American Primacy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Week 8:

- Weeks, Jessica L. (2008). Autocratic Audience Costs: Regime Type and Signaling Resolve. *International Organization*. **62**(01): 35-64. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40071874>
- Huth, Paul, and Bruce Russett (1984). What Makes Deterrence Work? Cases from 1900 to 1980. *World Politics*. **36**(4): 496-526. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010184>
- Signorino, Curtis S., and Ahmer Tarar (2006). A Unified Theory and Test of Extended Immediate Deterrence. *American Journal of Political Science*. **50**(3): 586-605. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3694236>
- Jervis, Robert (1989). Rational Deterrence: Theory and Evidence. *World Politics*. **41**(2): 183-207. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010407>
- Downs, George W. (1989). The Rational Deterrence Debate. *World Politics*. **41**(2): 225-237. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010409>

- Schultz, Kenneth A. (1999). Do Democratic Institutions Constrain or Inform? Contrasting Two Institutional Perspectives on Democracy and War. *International Organization*. **53**(2): 233-266. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601389>
- Trager, Robert F., and Lynn Vavreck (2011). The Political Costs of Crisis Bargaining: Presidential Rhetoric and the Role of Party. *American Journal of Political Science*. **55**(3): 526-545. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00521.x>
- McGillivray, Fiona, and Allan C. Stam (2004). Political Institutions, Coercive Diplomacy, and the Duration of Economic Sanctions. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. **48**(2): 154-172. <http://jcr.sagepub.com/content/48/2/154.abstract>
- Trager, Robert F. (2011). Multidimensional Diplomacy. *International Organization*. **65**(03): 469-506. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818311000178>
- Martin, Lisa L. (2005). The President and International Commitments: Treaties as Signaling Devices. *Presidential Studies Quarterly*. **35**(3): 440-465. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27552700>
- Holmes, Marcus (2013). The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. *International Organization*. **67**(4): 829-861. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000234>

Week 9:

- Milgrom, Paul R., Douglass C. North, and Barry R. Weingast (1990). The Role of Institutions in the Revival of Trade: The Medieval Law Merchant, Private Judges, and the Champagne Fairs. *Economics & Politics*. **2**: 1-23. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-0343.1990.tb00020.x/abstract>
- Fearon, James D. (1998). Bargaining, Enforcement, and International Cooperation. *International Organization*. **52**(2): 269-305. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601276>
- Carnegie, Allison (2014). States Held Hostage: Political Hold-Up Problems and the Effects of International Institutions. *American Political Science Review*. **108**(01): 54-70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000646>
- Vreeland, James Raymond, and Axel Dreher (2014). *The Political Economy of the United Nations Security Council: Money and Influence*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1-3 (pp. 1-93).
- Pierson, Paul (1996). The Path to European Integration: A Historical Institutionalist Analysis. *Comparative Political Studies*. **29**(2): 123-163. <http://cps.sagepub.com/content/29/2/123.abstract>
- Rathbun, Brian C. (2011). Before Hegemony: Generalized Trust and the Creation and Design of International Security Organizations. *International Organization*. **65**(02): 243-273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818311000014>
- Mearsheimer, John J. (1994/95). The False Promise of International Institutions. *International Security*. **19**(3): 5-49. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539078>
- Haas, Peter M., Robert O. Keohane, and Marc A. Levy (Eds.) (1993). *Institutions for the Earth: Sources of Effective International Environmental Protection*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Hall, Peter A., and Rosemary C. R. Taylor (1996). Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. *Political Studies*. **44**(5): 936-957. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb00343.x/full>
- Shepsle, Kenneth A. (1989). Studying Institutions: Some Lessons From the Rational Choice Approach. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. **1**(2): 131-147. <http://jtp.sagepub.com/content/1/2/131.short>
- Powell, Walter W., and Paul DiMaggio (1991). *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Introduction (pp. 1-40).

- Haas, Peter M. (1992). Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. *International Organization*. **46**(1): 1-35. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706951>
- Caporaso, James A. (1992). International Relations Theory and Multilateralism: The Search for Foundations. *International Organization*. **46**(3): 599-632. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706990>
- Martin, Lisa L., and Beth A. Simmons (1998). Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions. *International Organization*. **52**(4): 729-757. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601356>
- Adler, Emanuel (2008). The Spread of Security Communities: Communities of Practice, Self-Restraint, and NATO's Post—Cold War Transformation. *European Journal of International Relations*. **14**(2): 195-230. <http://ejt.sagepub.com/content/14/2/195.abstract>
- Pouliot, Vincent (2008). The Logic of Practicality: A Theory of Practice of Security Communities. *International Organization*. **62**(2): 257-288. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40071867>

Week 10:

- Hawkins, Darren G., David A. Lake, Daniel L. Nielson, and Michael J. Tierney (2006). Delegation under Anarchy: States, International Organizations, and Principal-Agent Theory. In Darren G. Hawkins, David A. Lake, Daniel L. Nielson and Michael J. Tierney (Eds.), *Delegation and Agency in International Organizations* (pp. 3-38). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Abbott, Kenneth W., Robert O. Keohane, Andrew Moravcsik, Anne-Marie Slaughter, and Duncan Snidal (2000). The Concept of Legalization. *International Organization*. **54**(3): 401-419. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601339>
- Keohane, Robert O., Andrew Moravcsik, and Anne-Marie Slaughter (2000). Legalized Dispute Resolution: Interstate and Transnational. *International Organization*. **54**(3): 457-488. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601341>
- Dai, Xinyuan (2002). Information Systems in Treaty Regimes. *World Politics*. **54**(4): 405-436. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054198>
- Haas, Peter M. (1989). Do Regimes Matter? Epistemic Communities and Mediterranean Pollution Control. *International Organization*. **43**(3): 377-403. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706652>
- Burley, Anne-Marie, and Walter Mattli (1993). Europe Before the Court: A Political Theory of Legal Integration. *International Organization*. **47**(1): 41-76. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706882>
- And the response...*
- Garrett, Geoffrey (1995). The Politics of Legal Integration in the European Union. *International Organization*. **49**(1): 171-181. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706870>
- And the rebuttal...*
- Mattli, Walter, and Anne-Marie Slaughter (1995). Law and Politics in the European Union: A Reply to Garrett. *International Organization*. **49**(1): 183-190. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706871>
- Kelley, Judith Green (2007). Who Keeps International Commitments and Why? The International Criminal Court and Bilateral Nonsurrender Agreements. *American Political Science Review*. **101**(3): 573-589. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27644467>
- Ikenberry, G. John (1998). Institutions, Strategic Restraint, and the Persistence of American Postwar Order. *International Security*. **23**(3): 43-78. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539338>

- Adler, Emanuel, and Michael N. Barnett (Eds.) (1998). *Security Communities*. Cambridge (UK); New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1 and 2 (pp. 1-66).
- Moravcsik, Andrew (2012). Liberal Theories of International Law. In Jeffrey L. Dunoff and Mark A. Pollack (Eds.), *International Law and International Relations: The State of the Art* (pp. 83-118). Cambridge (UK); New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Raustiala, Kal (1997). States, NGOs, and International Environmental Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly*. **41**(4): 719-740. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600859>
- Drezner, Daniel W. (2004). The Global Governance of the Internet: Bringing the State Back In. *Political Science Quarterly*. **119**(3): 477-498. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20202392>
- Simmons, Beth A. (2000). International Law and State Behavior: Commitment and Compliance in International Monetary Affairs. *American Political Science Review*. **94**(4): 819-835. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2586210>
- Special issue on Legalization in International Organization:*
- Abbott, Kenneth W., Robert O. Keohane, Andrew Moravcsik, Anne-Marie Slaughter, and Duncan Snidal (2000). The Concept of Legalization. *International Organization*. **54**(3): 401-419. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601339>
- Alter, Karen J. (2000). The European Union's Legal System and Domestic Policy: Spillover or Backlash? *International Organization*. **54**(3): 489-518. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601342>
- Kahler, Miles (2000). Legalization as Strategy: The Asia-Pacific Case. *International Organization*. **54**(3): 549-571. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601344>
- Finnemore, Martha, and Stephen J. Toope (2001). Alternatives to 'Legalization': Richer Views of Law and Politics. *International Organization*. **55**(3): 743-758. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078663>
- Reus-Smit, Christian (2011). Struggles for Individual Rights and the Expansion of the International System. *International Organization*. **65**(02): 207-242. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818311000038>

Week 11:

- McDermott, Rose (2004). *Political Psychology in International Relations*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- McDermott, Rose (2004). The Feeling of Rationality: The Meaning of Neuroscientific Advances for Political Science. *Perspectives on Politics*. **2**(4): 691-706. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3688538>
- McDermott, Rose, Dustin Tingley, Jonathan Cowden, Giovanni Frazzetto, Dominic D. P. Johnson, and Raghavendra Gadagkar (2009). Monoamine Oxidase a Gene (MAOA) Predicts Behavioral Aggression Following Provocation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. **106**(7): 2118-2123. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40272635>
- Zajonc, Robert B. (1980). Feeling and Thinking: Preferences Need No Inferences. *American Psychologist*. **35**(2): 151-175. <http://psyc604.stasson.org/zajonc.pdf>
- Hatemi, Peter K, Enda Byrne, and Rose McDermott (2012). Introduction: What is a 'Gene' and Why Does It Matter for Political Science? *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. **24**(3): 305-327. <http://jtp.sagepub.com/content/24/3/305.abstract>
- Lopez, Anthony C., Rose McDermott, and Michael Bang Petersen (2011). States in Mind: Evolution, Coalitional Psychology, and International Politics. *International Security*. **36**(2): 48-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00056

- Jervis, Robert (1989). War and Misperception. In Robert I. Rotberg and Theodore Rabb (Eds.), *The Origin and Prevention of Major Wars* (pp. 101-126). Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press.
- Abdelal, Rawi, Mark Blyth, and Craig Parsons (2010). Introduction: Constructing the International Economy. In Rawi Abdelal, Mark Blyth and Craig Parsons (Eds.), *Constructing the International Economy* (pp. 1-19). Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Abdelal, Rawi, Mark Blyth, and Craig Parsons (2010). Re-Constructing IPE: Some Conclusions Drawn from a Crisis. In Rawi Abdelal, Mark Blyth and Craig Parsons (Eds.), *Constructing the International Economy* (pp. 227-240). Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Morrison, James Ashley (2012). Before Hegemony: Adam Smith, American Independence, and the Origins of the First Era of Globalization. *International Organization*. **66**(03): 395-428. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0020818312000148>
- Bendor, Jonathan, and Thomas H. Hammond (1992). Rethinking Allison's Models. *American Political Science Review*. **86**(2): 301-322. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1964222>

Week 12:

- Mercer, Jonathan (1995). Anarchy and Identity. *International Organization*. **49**(2): 229-252. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706971>
- Ikenberry, G. John, and Charles A. Kupchan (1990). Socialization and Hegemonic Power. *International Organization*. **44**(3): 283-315. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706778>
- Klotz, Audie (2001). Can we Speak a Common Constructivist Language. In Karin M. Fierke and Knud Erik Joergensen (Eds.), *Constructing International Relations: The Next Generation* (pp. 223-235). Armonk, NY and London (UK): M.E. Sharpe.
- Legro, Jeffrey W. (1997). Which Norms Matter? Revisiting the "Failure" of Internationalism. *International Organization*. **51**(1): 31-63. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2703951>
- Risse-Kappen, Thomas (2000). 'Let's Argue:' Communicative Action in World Politics. *International Organization*. **54**(1): 1-39. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601316>
- Risse-Kappen, Thomas, and Kathryn Sikkink (1999). The Socialization of International Human Rights Norms into Domestic Practices: Introduction. In Thomas Risse-Kappen and Kathryn Sikkink (Eds.), *The Power of Human Rights: International Norms and Domestic Change* (pp. 1-38). New York: Cambridge University Press.